IN THE MATTER OF

* BEFORE THE STATE

ASHLEY NICHOLE GLASGOW

BOARD OF

RESPONDENT

* PHARMACY

REGISTRATION NO.: T19375

CASE NO:

PT-17-038

ORDER FOR SUMMARY SUSPENSION

Pursuant to Md. State Govt. II Code Ann. §10-226 (c)(2) (2014 Repl. Vol.), the State Board of Pharmacy (the "Board") hereby summarily suspends the registration of Ashley Nichole Glasgow, Registration Number: T19375 ("the Respondent"), to practice as a pharmacy technician, under the Maryland Pharmacy Act (the "Act"), Md. Health Occ. II Code Ann. ("H. O.") §§12-101 *et seq*. (2014 Repl. Vol.). This Order is based on the following investigative findings, which the Board has reason to believe are true:

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

- 1. At all times relevant hereto, the Respondent was registered to practice as a pharmacy technician in the State of Maryland.
- 2. The Respondent was originally registered to practice as a pharmacy technician in Maryland on or about March 23, 2017.
 - 3. The Respondent's registration expires on May 31, 2018.

¹ The statements regarding the Respondent's conduct are only intended to provide the Respondent with notice of the basis for the Board's action. They are not intended as, and do not necessarily represent a complete description of the evidence, either documentary or testimonial, to be offered against the Respondent in this matter.

- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Respondent worked as a pharmacy technician at Pharmacy A, a national retail chain, located in Maryland.²
- 5. On or about June 22, 2017, the Board was notified by a member of the Anne Arundel County Police Department ("Anne Arundel Police") that the Respondent had been arrested and charged with various drug and alcohol offenses
- 6. The events described below led to the Respondent's arrest by the Anne Arundel Police:
- 7. On or about June 20, 2017 Anne Arundel Police responded to a report of an automobile accident at the entrance of Establishment A.³
- 8. Before the arrival of the Anne Arundel Police, witnesses observed the Respondent repeatedly drifting all over the road.
- 9. At one point, a witness saw the Respondent driving on the wrong side of the road and almost hit the witness's car. The witness's fifteen month old daughter was in the back seat of his car.
- 10. After the accident, witnesses observed the Respondent stumbling along the roadway.
- 11. Upon arriving at Establishment A, Anne Arundel Police noticed a vehicle disabled in the roadway with flat tires on the driver's side and passenger side damage.
- 12. The Anne Arundel Police also noticed that the Respondent's eyes were red and glassy, her speech was slow and slurred, her movements sluggish, and she had the smell of alcohol on her breath.

² Pharmacy A is not identified in this document for privacy reasons, but this information will be provided to the Respondent upon request.

³ The name of Establishment A has been omitted to protect confidentiality.

- 13. The Respondent told the Anne Arundel Police that she had not been drinking prior to the accident but she admitted that she had taken several medications, including Flexeril, Ativan, Celexa, Proprandol, and Clariton on the same day of the accident.
- 14. The Respondent failed several field sobriety tests that were administered by the Anne Arundel Police.
- 15. The Respondent refused to take a preliminary alcohol breathalyzer test.

 The Respondent told the Anne Arundel Police "I drank a lot last night and don't trust breathalyzers".
- 16. When the Anne Arundel Police attempted to place the Respondent under arrest, she refused to follow orders and became belligerent and started yelling. The Respondent screamed at the Anne Arundel Police, "you can't arrest me, I didn't do anything wrong, I don't do drugs. I'm a legal drug dealer."
- 17. When the Anne Arundel Police looked into the Respondent's car, they saw various bottles of medication.
- 18. Once at the Anne Arundel Police station the Respondent agreed to take a breathalyzer test. The result of the Respondent's breathalyzer test was BrAC 0.11%.4

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing investigative findings, the Board concludes that the public health, safety, and welfare imperatively require emergency action in this case, pursuant to Md. State Gov't. II Code Ann. § 10-226(c) (2).

ORDER

⁴ BrAC is the alcohol concentration on one's breath.

Based on the foregoing Investigative Findings and Conclusions of Law, it is this day of <u>August</u> 2017, by a majority of the quorum of the Board, hereby

ORDERED that the license issued to the Respondent to practice as a pharmacy technician in the State of Maryland under registration number: T19275 is hereby **SUMMARILY SUSPENDED**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Respondent is prohibited from practicing as a pharmacy technician in the State of Maryland; and it is further

ORDERED that the Respondent shall immediately return all pharmacy technician registrations to the Board; and it is further

ORDERED that this ORDER FOR SUMMARY SUSPENSION is a PUBLIC **DOCUMENT** as defined in Md. General Provisions Code Ann. §§ 4-101 et seq. (2014).

8-10-17 Date

Veena Guyph Nagutu for Mitra Gavgani, Pharm.D.

President

State Board of Pharmacy